

Finite sums of ridge functions on convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n

A.A.Kuleshov

Moscow State University

AnMath 2019
Budapest, 13 August, 2019

$E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j.$$

$\varphi : \Delta(\mathbf{a}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta(\mathbf{a}) := \{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in E\}$.

$\varphi(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x})$ – ridge function, \mathbf{a} – direction.

John [1955] ("plane waves"), Logan and Shepp [1975], Friedman and Stuetzle [1981], Huber [1985], Donoho and Johnstone [1989], V.N. Temlyakov [1996], V.E. Maiorov [1999], R.A. Aliev, V.E. Ismailov [2015], A. Pinkus [1999-2015].

Let \mathbf{a}^i be pairwise linearly independent, $\Delta_i := \Delta(\mathbf{a}^i)$.

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(\mathbf{a}^i \cdot \mathbf{x}) : \mathbb{R}^n \supset E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}. \quad (1)$$

$\varphi : \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$ - additive function

Example (m=3): $f(x, y) := \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(x + y) \equiv 0$.

$-\infty \leq \alpha < \beta \leq +\infty, |h| < \beta - \alpha, \forall x \in J_h := (\alpha, \beta) \cap (\alpha - h, \beta - h) :$
 $\Delta_h \varphi(x) := \varphi(x + h) - \varphi(x)$.

N.G.De Bruijn[1951]

$W(\alpha, \beta)$ has the difference property iff $\forall h (|h| < \beta - \alpha)$ &
 $(\Delta_h \varphi \in W(J_h)) \Rightarrow \varphi(x) = g(x) + h(x)$, where $g \in W(\alpha, \beta)$, h is
additive on (α, β) .

Lemma 1. Classes $D^k(\alpha, \beta), C^k(\alpha, \beta), C^\infty(\alpha, \beta), A(\alpha, \beta), P(\alpha, \beta)$
have the difference property.

Since now $W \in \{D^k, C^k, C^\infty, A, P\}$.

Theorem 1. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonempty and open. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1) \mathbf{a}^i are linearly independent;

2) for each f of the form (1): $f \in W(E) \Rightarrow \varphi_i \in W(\Delta_i)$ for $i = \overline{1, m}$.

A closed convex set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\text{int}(E) \neq \emptyset$, is called a convex body. A hyperplane $\Gamma = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \gamma\}$ is called a support hyperplane for the set E at a point $\mathbf{y} \in E$ if

$\forall \mathbf{x} \in E : \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \gamma$. The boundary $\partial(E)$ of a convex body E is said to be smooth if every point $y \in \partial(E)$ has a unique support hyperplane.

$$-\infty \leq \alpha < \beta \leq +\infty,$$

$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow B_{(\alpha, \beta)}$:

1) $B_{(\alpha, \beta)} \ni \varphi = g + h$, where $g \in C(\alpha, \beta)$, h is additive

$$\Rightarrow h(x) = cx.$$

2) $\forall h \in \mathbb{R}, |h| < \beta - \alpha : \varphi \in B_{(\alpha, \beta)} \Rightarrow \Delta_h \varphi \in B_{J_h}$.

3) $\forall (c, d) \subset (\alpha, \beta) : \varphi \in B_{(\alpha, \beta)} \Rightarrow \varphi \in B_{(c, d)}$.

Measurable or locally bounded functions belong to some B .

One-side locally bounded functions do not belong to any B .

Theorem 2. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be convex and open, let $f \in W(E)$ be a function of the form (1), $\varphi_i \in B_{\Delta_i}$ for $i = \overline{1, m}$. Then $\varphi_i \in W(\Delta_i)$ for $i = \overline{1, m}$.

Example:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \psi^2(x_1) - 3\psi^2(x_1 + x_2) + 3\psi^2(x_1 + 2x_2) - \psi^2(x_1 + 3x_2) \equiv 0$$

Theorem 3. Let E be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , $E \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $m \geq 2$.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) the boundary of E is smooth;
- 2) for arbitrary $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^m$ and arbitrary functions $\varphi_i \in B_{\text{int}(\Delta_i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), the continuity of a function f of the form (1) on E implies the continuity of the functions φ_i on the sets Δ_i .
- 3) for arbitrary $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^m$ and arbitrary functions $\varphi_i \in B_{\text{int}(\Delta_i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), the continuity of a function f of the form (1) on E implies the local boundedness of the functions φ_i on the sets Δ_i .

Theorem 4. Let E be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , f be a continuous function of the form (1) on E , $\varphi_i \in B_{\text{int}(\Delta_i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), and let $t_0 \in \Delta_i$ be a boundary point for some i . Then $\varphi_i(t) = o(-\log |t - t_0|)$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$, $t \in \Delta_i$, and the obtained logarithmic estimate is sharp.

Theorem 5. Let $\lambda(u) = o(-\log u)$ as $u \rightarrow 0+$, $n = 2$,
 $E = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0\}$. Then there exists a
continuous function f on E of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(x_1 + x_2) - \varphi(x_1 + 2x_2)$$

such that $\lambda(u) = o(\varphi(u))$ as $u \rightarrow 0+$.

For an integrable function f on a set E of finite positive measure,
we define its mean value on E :

$$f_E := \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E f(x) dx.$$

Definition. Let $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$. A locally integrable function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to belong to the class $VMO[a, b]$, iff the equality

$$\lim_{d-c \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{d-c} \int_{[c,d]} |f(x) - f_{[c,d]}| dx = 0,$$

with the limit taken over the intervals
 $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$, $-\infty < c < d < +\infty$.

Theorem 6. Let E be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , f be a continuous function of the form (1) on E , $\varphi_i \in B_{\text{int}(\Delta_i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), and let $[a, b] \subset \Delta_i$, $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$. Then $\varphi_i \in VMO[a, b]$.

For a function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we define its modulus of continuity at a point $\mathbf{x}^0 \in E$:

$$\omega(f, \mathbf{x}^0, t) := \sup_{\|\mathbf{h}\| \leq t, \mathbf{x}^0 + \mathbf{h} \in E} |f(\mathbf{x}^0 + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}^0)|.$$

$f \in C(E) \Rightarrow \omega(f, \mathbf{x}^0, \cdot) \nearrow \in C[0, +\infty]$, $\omega(f, \mathbf{x}^0, 0) = 0$.

Theorem 7. *Let E be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , f be a continuous function of the form (1) on E , $\varphi_i \in B_{\text{int}(\Delta_i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Let a be a boundary point of the set Δ_i and for some $\mathbf{x}^0 \in E$ such that $\mathbf{a}^i \cdot \mathbf{x}^0 = a$, the modulus of continuity $\omega(t) := \omega(f, \mathbf{x}^0, t)$ satisfies the Dini condition*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt < \infty. \quad (2)$$

Then there exists a finite limit

$$A := \lim_{t \rightarrow a} \varphi_i(t).$$

Theorem 8. Let $\eta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary continuous nondecreasing function with $\eta(0) = 0$, which does not satisfy the condition (2). Let $n = 2$,
 $E = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 \geq 0, x_1 \leq x_2 \leq 2x_1\}$. Then there exists a continuous function f on E of the form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(x_2) - \varphi(x_1)$$

such that

$$\omega(f, \mathbf{0}, \delta) = \eta(\delta)$$

for $\delta \geq 0$, $\varphi \in C(0, +\infty)$, $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \varphi(t) = -\infty$.

Theorem 9. Let E be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , f be a continuous function of the form (1) on E , $\varphi_i \in B_{\text{int}(\Delta_i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Suppose that for some $\mathbf{x}^0 \in E$ and for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ there exist finite limits $\lim_{t \rightarrow a_i} \varphi_i(t) =: b_i$, where






$a_i := \mathbf{a}^i \cdot \mathbf{x}^0$. Then the simultaneous replacement of all the values $\varphi_i(a_i)$ by the numbers b_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) does not change the values of the function f on E .

Theorem 10. *Let E be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , $E \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \geq 0$.*

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) the boundary of E is smooth;*
- 2) for arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N}$, for arbitrary vectors $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^m$ and arbitrary functions $\varphi_i \in B_{\text{int}(\Delta_i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), we have $f \in C^k(E) \Rightarrow \varphi_i \in C^k(\Delta_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), where f is of the form (1).*

Publications

-  *S.V. Konyagin, A.A. Kuleshov. On the continuity of finite sums of ridge functions; Math. Notes, 2015, v. 98, pp. 336-338.*
-  *S.V. Konyagin, A.A. Kuleshov. On some properties of finite sums of ridge functions defined on convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n ; Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 2016, v. 293, pp. 186 - 193..*
-  *A.A. Kuleshov. On some properties of smooth sums of ridge functions; [Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 2016, v. 294, pp. 89 - 94..*
-  *A.A. Kuleshov. Continuous sums of ridge functions on a convex body and the class VMO; Math. Notes, 2017, v. 102, pp. 799-805.*
-  *A.A. Kuleshov. Continuous sums of ridge functions on a convex body with Dini condition on moduli of continuity at boundary points; Analysis Mathematica, 2019, v. 45, iss. 2, pp. 335-345.*

Publications



S.V. Konyagin, A.A. Kuleshov, V.E. Maiorov. Some problems in the theory of ridge functions; Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 2018, v. 301, pp. 144-169.

Thanks for your attention!