# Local Wiener's Theorem and Almost Periodic Measures and Distributions

#### S. FAVOROV

Karazin's Kharkiv national university, Ukraine

Budapest, Augest, 2019

э

< 同 ▶

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

#### Theorem (N.Wiener)

Let a function  $g(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n t}$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n| < \infty$ , not vanish at each point of [0, 1]. Then

$$rac{1}{g(t)} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} d_n e^{2\pi i n t}, \quad \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |d_n| < \infty.$$

#### Theorem (N.Wiener)

Let a function  $g(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n t}$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n| < \infty$ , not vanish at each point of [0, 1]. Then

$$rac{1}{g(t)} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} d_n e^{2\pi i n t}, \quad \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |d_n| < \infty.$$

Put

$$W = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{n} c_{n} e^{2\pi i \langle x, \gamma_{n} \rangle}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad \gamma_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad \sum_{n} |c_{n}| < \infty \right\}.$$

#### Theorem (N.Wiener)

Let a function  $g(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n t}$ ,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n| < \infty$ , not vanish at each point of [0, 1]. Then

$$rac{1}{g(t)} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} d_n e^{2\pi i n t}, \quad \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |d_n| < \infty.$$

Put

$$W = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{n} c_{n} e^{2\pi i \langle x, \gamma_{n} \rangle}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad \gamma_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad \sum_{n} |c_{n}| < \infty \right\}$$

#### Proposition (F, 2017)

For any  $f \in W$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $g_{\varepsilon} \in W$  such that  $g_{\varepsilon}(x) = 1/f(x)$  if  $|f(x)| \ge \varepsilon$  and  $g_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$  if  $|f(x)| \le \varepsilon/2$ .

 $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is Schwartz' space of rapidly decreasing  $C^{\infty}$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with finite norms for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ 

$$N_{n,m}(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ (1+|x|^n) \max_{k_1+\cdots+k_d \leq m} |\partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_d}^{k_d} f(x)| \}.$$

 $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is Schwartz' space of rapidly decreasing  $C^{\infty}$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with finite norms for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ 

$$N_{n,m}(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ (1+|x|^n) \max_{k_1+\cdots+k_d \leq m} |\partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_d}^{k_d} f(x)| \}.$$

The space  $S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of continuous linear functionals on  $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called the space of tempered distributions.

 $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is Schwartz' space of rapidly decreasing  $C^{\infty}$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with finite norms for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ 

$$N_{n,m}(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ (1+|x|^n) \max_{k_1+\cdots+k_d \leq m} |\partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_d}^{k_d} f(x)| \}.$$

The space  $S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of continuous linear functionals on  $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called the space of tempered distributions.

For  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  $\hat{f}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} f(x) \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, y \rangle\} dx \in S(\mathbb{R}^d).$ 

 $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is Schwartz' space of rapidly decreasing  $C^{\infty}$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with finite norms for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ 

$$N_{n,m}(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ (1+|x|^n) \max_{k_1+\cdots+k_d \leq m} |\partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_d}^{k_d} f(x)| \}.$$

The space  $S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of continuous linear functionals on  $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called the space of tempered distributions.

For  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  $\hat{f}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} f(x) \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, y \rangle\} dx \in S(\mathbb{R}^d).$ 

For  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , in particular, for Radon measures belonging to  $S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\hat{F}(f) = F(\hat{f}) \quad \forall f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

 $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is Schwartz' space of rapidly decreasing  $C^{\infty}$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with finite norms for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ 

$$N_{n,m}(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ (1+|x|^n) \max_{k_1+\cdots+k_d \leq m} |\partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_d}^{k_d} f(x)| \}.$$

The space  $S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of continuous linear functionals on  $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called the space of tempered distributions.

For  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  $\hat{f}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} f(x) \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, y \rangle\} dx \in S(\mathbb{R}^d).$ 

For  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , in particular, for Radon measures belonging to  $S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\hat{F}(f) = F(\hat{f}) \quad \forall f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

A set  $\Lambda$  is **uniformly discrete**  $\equiv$  inf{ $|\lambda - \lambda'| : \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \lambda'$ } > 0.

э

-

#### Theorem (Y.Meyer-A.Cordoba-M.Kolountzakis)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{N} s_k \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} \delta_{\lambda}$  be a Radon measure with uniformly discrete support  $\Lambda = \bigcup_k \Lambda_k$ , such that its Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  be an atomic Radon measure with the property

$$|\hat{\mu}|\{x: |x| < r\} = O(r^d) \qquad (r \to \infty).$$

Then there exists a finite number of full-rank lattices  $L_i$  and  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (L_i + c_i)$ .

#### Theorem (Y.Meyer-A.Cordoba-M.Kolountzakis)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{N} s_k \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} \delta_{\lambda}$  be a Radon measure with uniformly discrete support  $\Lambda = \bigcup_k \Lambda_k$ , such that its Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  be an atomic Radon measure with the property

$$|\hat{\mu}|\{x: |x| < r\} = O(r^d) \qquad (r \to \infty).$$

Then there exists a finite number of full-rank lattices  $L_i$  and  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (L_i + c_i)$ .

Some conditions on  $a_{\lambda}$  is necessary (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2016).

#### Theorem (Y.Meyer-A.Cordoba-M.Kolountzakis)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{N} s_k \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} \delta_{\lambda}$  be a Radon measure with uniformly discrete support  $\Lambda = \bigcup_k \Lambda_k$ , such that its Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  be an atomic Radon measure with the property

$$|\hat{\mu}|\{x: |x| < r\} = O(r^d) \qquad (r \to \infty).$$

Then there exists a finite number of full-rank lattices  $L_i$  and  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (L_i + c_i)$ .

Some conditions on  $a_{\lambda}$  is necessary (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2016).

#### Theorem (F, 2017)

The same is valid for a Radon measure  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$  with uniformly discrete  $\Lambda$  under the condition  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |a_{\lambda}| > 0$  and the same property of  $\hat{\mu}$ .

#### Theorem (Y.Meyer-A.Cordoba-M.Kolountzakis)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{N} s_k \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} \delta_{\lambda}$  be a Radon measure with uniformly discrete support  $\Lambda = \bigcup_k \Lambda_k$ , such that its Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  be an atomic Radon measure with the property

$$|\hat{\mu}|\{x: |x| < r\} = O(r^d) \qquad (r \to \infty).$$

Then there exists a finite number of full-rank lattices  $L_i$  and  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{i=1}^N (L_i + c_i)$ .

Some conditions on  $a_{\lambda}$  is necessary (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2016).

#### Theorem (F, 2017)

The same is valid for a Radon measure  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$  with uniformly discrete  $\Lambda$  under the condition  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |a_{\lambda}| > 0$  and the same property of  $\hat{\mu}$ . For d = 1 all  $L_k$  are equal to a single full-rank lattice.

э

#### Theorem (F)

If  $\mu$  is an atomic Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $|\mu|\{x : |x| < r\} = O(r^d)$  as  $r \to \infty$ , and its spectrum  $\Gamma = \overline{\operatorname{supp}\hat{\mu}}$  is uniformly discrete, then  $\hat{\mu}$  is the Radon measure.

#### Theorem (F)

If  $\mu$  is an atomic Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $|\mu|\{x : |x| < r\} = O(r^d)$  as  $r \to \infty$ , and its spectrum  $\Gamma = \overline{\operatorname{supp}}\hat{\mu}$  is uniformly discrete, then  $\hat{\mu}$  is the Radon measure. If, in addition,  $\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| > 0$ , then

$$\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{J_k} \exp\{2\pi i \langle x, a_{j,k} \rangle\} \mu_k,$$

where  $\mu_k$  are *d*-periodic atomic Radon measures with full-rank lattices  $L_k$  of periods, k = 1, ..., N, and  $a_{j,k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

#### Theorem (F)

If  $\mu$  is an atomic Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $|\mu|\{x : |x| < r\} = O(r^d)$  as  $r \to \infty$ , and its spectrum  $\Gamma = \overline{\operatorname{supp}}\hat{\mu}$  is uniformly discrete, then  $\hat{\mu}$  is the Radon measure. If, in addition,  $\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| > 0$ , then

$$\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{J_k} \exp\{2\pi i \langle x, a_{j,k} \rangle\} \mu_k,$$

where  $\mu_k$  are *d*-periodic atomic Radon measures with full-rank lattices  $L_k$  of periods, k = 1, ..., N, and  $a_{j,k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Also,  $\hat{\mu}$  is a measure of the form

$$\hat{\mu} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} F_k(y) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k} \delta_{\gamma},$$

where  $\Gamma_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^{J_k} [L_k^* + a_{j,k}]$  and  $F_k \in W$ .

э

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

A measure  $\nu$  is translation bounded if  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|(x + B(1)) < \infty$ .

э

A measure  $\nu$  is translation bounded if  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|(x + B(1)) < \infty$ .

#### Theorem (Meyer 2018)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$  be a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with uniformly discrete support  $\Lambda$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  be a translation bounded Radon measure.

A measure  $\nu$  is translation bounded if  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|(x + B(1)) < \infty$ .

#### Theorem (Meyer 2018)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$  be a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with uniformly discrete support  $\Lambda$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  be a translation bounded Radon measure. Then the set  $\Lambda_1 = \{\lambda : a_{\lambda} = 1\}$  satisfies Kahane's property:

A measure  $\nu$  is translation bounded if  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|(x + B(1)) < \infty$ .

#### Theorem (Meyer 2018)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$  be a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{d}$  with uniformly discrete support  $\Lambda$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  be a translation bounded Radon measure. Then the set  $\Lambda_{1} = \{\lambda : a_{\lambda} = 1\}$  satisfies Kahane's property: if f is a limit of finite exponential sums  $\sum c_{\lambda} \exp\{2\pi i \langle x, \lambda \rangle\}$  with  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{1}, c_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}, w.r.t$  the topology of uniform convergence on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ , then f is almost periodic in the sense of H.Bohr.

A measure  $\nu$  is translation bounded if  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\mu|(x + B(1)) < \infty$ .

#### Theorem (Meyer 2018)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$  be a Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{d}$  with uniformly discrete support  $\Lambda$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  be a translation bounded Radon measure. Then the set  $\Lambda_{1} = \{\lambda : a_{\lambda} = 1\}$  satisfies Kahane's property: if f is a limit of finite exponential sums  $\sum c_{\lambda} \exp\{2\pi i \langle x, \lambda \rangle\}$  with  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{1}, c_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$ , w.r.t the topology of uniform convergence on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ , then f is almost periodic in the sense of H.Bohr.

#### Theorem (F 2019)

Let, in addition,  $\hat{\mu}$  be an atomic measure. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $\Lambda_{\varepsilon} = \{\lambda : |a_{\lambda}| > \varepsilon\}$  satisfies Kahane's property.

・ロット (雪) (目) (日) ヨ

э

The Poisson formula is the equality for  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}f(k)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\hat{f}(k) \quad ext{ or } \quad \hat{\mu}=\mu \quad ext{ for } \mu=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\delta_k.$$

The Poisson formula is the equality for  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}f(k)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\hat{f}(k) \quad ext{ or } \quad \hat{\mu}=\mu \quad ext{ for } \mu=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\delta_k.$$

Theorem (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2015)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$ ,  $a_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}$ ,  $b_{\gamma} > 0$ , be measures with the uniformly discrete set  $\Lambda$  and with the discrete and closed set  $\Gamma$ .

The Poisson formula is the equality for  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}f(k)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\hat{f}(k) \quad ext{ or } \quad \hat{\mu}=\mu \quad ext{ for } \mu=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\delta_k.$$

Theorem (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2015)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$ ,  $a_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}$ ,  $b_{\gamma} > 0$ , be measures with the uniformly discrete set  $\Lambda$  and with the discrete and closed set  $\Gamma$ . Then there exist a single full-rank lattice L such that

$$\Lambda\subset\cup_{j=1}^N(L+c_j),\quad \Gamma\subset\cup_{k=1}^{N'}(L^*+d_k),\quad c_1,\ldots,c_N,\ d_1,\ldots,d_{N'}\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

The Poisson formula is the equality for  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}f(k)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\hat{f}(k) \quad ext{ or } \quad \hat{\mu}=\mu \quad ext{ for } \mu=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\delta_k.$$

Theorem (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2015)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$ ,  $a_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}$ ,  $b_{\gamma} > 0$ , be measures with the uniformly discrete set  $\Lambda$  and with the discrete and closed set  $\Gamma$ . Then there exist a single full-rank lattice L such that

$$\Lambda\subset\cup_{j=1}^N(L+c_j),\quad \Gamma\subset\cup_{k=1}^{N'}(L^*+d_k),\quad c_1,\ldots,c_N,\ d_1,\ldots,d_{N'}\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

For d = 1 the result is valid without the condition  $b_{\gamma} > 0$ .

The Poisson formula is the equality for  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}f(k)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\hat{f}(k) \quad ext{ or } \quad \hat{\mu}=\mu \quad ext{ for } \mu=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\delta_k.$$

Theorem (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2015)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$ ,  $a_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}$ ,  $b_{\gamma} > 0$ , be measures with the uniformly discrete set  $\Lambda$  and with the discrete and closed set  $\Gamma$ . Then there exist a single full-rank lattice L such that

$$\Lambda\subset\cup_{j=1}^N(L+c_j),\quad \Gamma\subset\cup_{k=1}^{N'}(L^*+d_k),\quad c_1,\ldots,c_N,\ d_1,\ldots,d_{N'}\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

For d = 1 the result is valid without the condition  $b_{\gamma} > 0$ .

For d > 1 the result is not valid without the condition  $b_{\gamma} > 0$  (F, 2015).

The Poisson formula is the equality for  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}f(k)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\hat{f}(k) \quad ext{ or } \quad \hat{\mu}=\mu \quad ext{ for } \mu=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\delta_k.$$

Theorem (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2015)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$ ,  $a_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}$ ,  $b_{\gamma} > 0$ , be measures with the uniformly discrete set  $\Lambda$  and with the discrete and closed set  $\Gamma$ . Then there exist a single full-rank lattice L such that

$$\Lambda\subset\cup_{j=1}^N(L+c_j),\quad \Gamma\subset\cup_{k=1}^{N'}(L^*+d_k),\quad c_1,\ldots,c_N,\ d_1,\ldots,d_{N'}\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

For d = 1 the result is valid without the condition  $b_{\gamma} > 0$ .

For d > 1 the result is not valid without the condition  $b_{\gamma} > 0$  (F, 2015).

"*L* is a full-rank lattice" means  $L = A(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  with a nonsingular linear operator *A* in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $L^* = A^*(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is the conjugate lattice.

## Tempered Distributions with Discrete Support

### Tempered Distributions with Discrete Support

 $\Lambda$  is close discrete  $\equiv \Lambda$  has no finite limit points.

### Tempered Distributions with Discrete Support

 $\Lambda$  is close discrete  $\equiv \Lambda$  has no finite limit points.

#### Proposition

Every tempered distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with closed discrete support  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  has the form

$$F = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d \leq m} p_{\lambda,k} \partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_d}^{k_d} \delta_{\lambda}, \quad p_{\lambda,k} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad k = (k_1, \dots, k_d),$$

where  $m = \operatorname{ord} F < \infty$ .
## Tempered Distributions with Discrete Support

 $\Lambda$  is close discrete  $\equiv \Lambda$  has no finite limit points.

#### Proposition

Every tempered distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with closed discrete support  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  has the form

$$F = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_d \leq m} p_{\lambda,k} \partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_d}^{k_d} \delta_{\lambda}, \quad p_{\lambda,k} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad k = (k_1, \dots, k_d),$$

where  $m = \operatorname{ord} F < \infty$ . If

$$\exists h < \infty, \ c > 0: \quad |\lambda - \lambda'| > c(1 + |\lambda|)^{-h} \quad \forall \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda, \quad \lambda \neq \lambda',$$

then  $\|F_{\lambda}\| := \max_{k} |p_{\lambda,k}| = O(|\lambda|^{T})$  as  $|\lambda| \to \infty$  with some  $T < \infty$ .

э

Theorem (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2016)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$ ,  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}$ ,  $a_{\lambda}, b_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}$ , with uniformly discrete  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Gamma$ , and  $\Lambda - \Lambda$ . Then there are full-rank lattice L and  $c_1, \ldots, c_N, d_1, \ldots, d_{N'} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\Lambda \subset \cup_{j=1}^N (L + c_j)$  and  $\Gamma \subset \cup_{k=1}^{N'} (L^* + d_k)$ .

#### Theorem (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2016)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$ ,  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}$ ,  $a_{\lambda}, b_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}$ , with uniformly discrete  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Gamma$ , and  $\Lambda - \Lambda$ . Then there are full-rank lattice L and  $c_1, \ldots, c_N, d_1, \ldots, d_{N'} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\Lambda \subset \cup_{j=1}^N (L + c_j)$  and  $\Gamma \subset \cup_{k=1}^{N'} (L^* + d_k)$ .

### Theorem (V.Palamodov, 2017)

Let F be a tempered distribution with support  $\Lambda$  and spectrum  $\Gamma$  such that the differences  $\Lambda - \Lambda$  and  $\Gamma - \Gamma$  both be closed discrete sets and one of them be uniformly discrete. Then  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  satisfy the same assertions as in the previous theorem.

#### Theorem (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2016)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$ ,  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}$ ,  $a_{\lambda}, b_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}$ , with uniformly discrete  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Gamma$ , and  $\Lambda - \Lambda$ . Then there are full-rank lattice L and  $c_1, \ldots, c_N, d_1, \ldots, d_{N'} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\Lambda \subset \cup_{j=1}^N (L + c_j)$  and  $\Gamma \subset \cup_{k=1}^{N'} (L^* + d_k)$ .

#### Theorem (V.Palamodov, 2017)

Let F be a tempered distribution with support  $\Lambda$  and spectrum  $\Gamma$  such that the differences  $\Lambda - \Lambda$  and  $\Gamma - \Gamma$  both be closed discrete sets and one of them be uniformly discrete. Then  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  satisfy the same assertions as in the previous theorem.

We say that a distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with closed discrete support  $\Lambda$  is large if  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ||F_{\lambda}|| > 0$ , where  $||F_{\lambda}|| = \max_k |p_{\lambda,k}|$ .

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Theorem (N.Lev, A.Olevskii, 2016)

Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$ ,  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}$ ,  $a_{\lambda}, b_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}$ , with uniformly discrete  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Gamma$ , and  $\Lambda - \Lambda$ . Then there are full-rank lattice L and  $c_1, \ldots, c_N, d_1, \ldots, d_{N'} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\Lambda \subset \cup_{j=1}^N (L + c_j)$  and  $\Gamma \subset \cup_{k=1}^{N'} (L^* + d_k)$ .

### Theorem (V.Palamodov, 2017)

Let F be a tempered distribution with support  $\Lambda$  and spectrum  $\Gamma$  such that the differences  $\Lambda - \Lambda$  and  $\Gamma - \Gamma$  both be closed discrete sets and one of them be uniformly discrete. Then  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  satisfy the same assertions as in the previous theorem.

We say that a distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with closed discrete support  $\Lambda$  is large if  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ||F_{\lambda}|| > 0$ , where  $||F_{\lambda}|| = \max_k |p_{\lambda,k}|$ .

A set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is called **relatively dense** if there is R such that every ball of radius R intersects with  $\Lambda$ .

### Theorem (F, 2018)

Let  $F^1$ ,  $F^2$  be large tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with relatively dense discrete supports  $\Lambda_1$ ,  $\Lambda_2$  such that  $\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2$  be a closed discrete. If at least one of the following conditions is satisfied

### Theorem (F, 2018)

Let  $F^1$ ,  $F^2$  be large tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with relatively dense discrete supports  $\Lambda_1$ ,  $\Lambda_2$  such that  $\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2$  be a closed discrete. If at least one of the following conditions is satisfied *i*)  $\hat{F}^1$ ,  $\hat{F}^2$  are both atomic measures such that

$$\exists T < \infty \quad |\hat{F}^1|(B(r)) + |\hat{F}^2|(B(r)) = O(r^T), \quad r \to \infty,$$

### Theorem (F, 2018)

Let  $F^1$ ,  $F^2$  be large tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with relatively dense discrete supports  $\Lambda_1$ ,  $\Lambda_2$  such that  $\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2$  be a closed discrete. If at least one of the following conditions is satisfied *i*)  $\hat{F}^1$ ,  $\hat{F}^2$  are both atomic measures such that

$$\exists T < \infty \quad |\hat{F}^1|(B(r)) + |\hat{F}^2|(B(r)) = O(r^T), \quad r \to \infty,$$

ii)  $\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} \|F_{\lambda}^j\| < \infty, \ j = 1, 2$ , spectrums  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  are closed discrete and

$$\#\{\gamma\in \mathsf{\Gamma}_j, \, |\gamma|< r\}=\mathcal{O}(r^{\mathsf{T}}), \, r\to\infty, \, j=1,2,$$

### Theorem (F, 2018)

Let  $F^1$ ,  $F^2$  be large tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with relatively dense discrete supports  $\Lambda_1$ ,  $\Lambda_2$  such that  $\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2$  be a closed discrete. If at least one of the following conditions is satisfied *i*)  $\hat{F}^1$ ,  $\hat{F}^2$  are both atomic measures such that

$$\exists T < \infty \quad |\hat{F}^1|(B(r)) + |\hat{F}^2|(B(r)) = O(r^T), \quad r \to \infty,$$

ii)  $\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} \|F_{\lambda}^j\| < \infty, \ j = 1, 2$ , spectrums  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  are closed discrete and

$$\#\{\gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma}_j, \ |\gamma| < r\} = \mathcal{O}(r^{\mathsf{T}}), \ r \to \infty, \ j = 1, 2,$$

iii)  $\hat{F}^1$ ,  $\hat{F}^2$  are both measures with discrete supports  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_2$  such that  $\exists h < \infty, \ c > 0: \quad |\gamma - \gamma'| > c(1 + |\gamma|)^{-h} \quad \forall \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_j, \ j = 1, 2,$ 

### Theorem (F, 2018)

Let  $F^1$ ,  $F^2$  be large tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with relatively dense discrete supports  $\Lambda_1$ ,  $\Lambda_2$  such that  $\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2$  be a closed discrete. If at least one of the following conditions is satisfied *i*)  $\hat{F}^1$ ,  $\hat{F}^2$  are both atomic measures such that

$$\exists T < \infty \quad |\hat{F}^1|(B(r)) + |\hat{F}^2|(B(r)) = O(r^T), \quad r \to \infty,$$

ii)  $\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} \|F_{\lambda}^j\| < \infty, \ j = 1, 2$ , spectrums  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  are closed discrete and

$$\#\{\gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma}_j, \ |\gamma| < r\} = \mathcal{O}(r^{\mathsf{T}}), \ r \to \infty, \ j = 1, 2,$$

iii)  $\hat{F}^1$ ,  $\hat{F}^2$  are both measures with discrete supports  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_2$  such that  $\exists h < \infty, \ c > 0: \quad |\gamma - \gamma'| > c(1 + |\gamma|)^{-h} \quad \forall \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_j, \ j = 1, 2,$ 

then  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$  are finite unions of translates of a single full-rank lattice.

A continuous function g on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is **almost periodic**, if the set

$$\{ au \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |g(x+ au) - g(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is relatively dense in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

A continuous function g on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is **almost periodic**, if the set

$$\{ au \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |g(x + au) - g(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is relatively dense in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

A distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is **almost periodic** if the function  $(F \star f)(t) = F(f(\cdot - t))$  is almost periodic in  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for each  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

A continuous function g on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is **almost periodic**, if the set

$$\{ au \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |g(x+ au) - g(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is relatively dense in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

A distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is **almost periodic** if the function  $(F \star f)(t) = F(f(\cdot - t))$  is almost periodic in  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for each  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Theorem (F, 2018)

For the almost periodicity of  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , at least one of the following conditions is sufficient

A continuous function g on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is **almost periodic**, if the set

$$\{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |g(x + \tau) - g(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is relatively dense in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

A distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is **almost periodic** if the function  $(F \star f)(t) = F(f(\cdot - t))$  is almost periodic in  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for each  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

#### Theorem (F, 2018)

For the almost periodicity of  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , at least one of the following conditions is sufficient

i) supp F is uniformly discrete, sup<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>  $||F_{\lambda}|| < \infty$ ,  $\Gamma = \text{supp}\hat{F}$  is discrete and closed,  $\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma| \le r\} = O(r^T)$  as  $r \to \infty$  with some  $T < \infty$ ,

A continuous function g on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is **almost periodic**, if the set

$$\{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |g(x + \tau) - g(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is relatively dense in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

A distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is **almost periodic** if the function  $(F \star f)(t) = F(f(\cdot - t))$  is almost periodic in  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for each  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

#### Theorem (F, 2018)

For the almost periodicity of  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , at least one of the following conditions is sufficient

i) supp F is uniformly discrete, sup<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>  $||F_{\lambda}|| < \infty$ ,  $\Gamma = \text{supp}\hat{F}$  is discrete and closed,  $\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma| \le r\} = O(r^T)$  as  $r \to \infty$  with some  $T < \infty$ , ii)  $\hat{F}$  is an atomic measure,  $|\hat{F}|(B(r)) = O(r^T)$  as  $r \to \infty$ ,

A continuous function g on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is **almost periodic**, if the set

$$\{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |g(x + \tau) - g(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is relatively dense in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

A distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is **almost periodic** if the function  $(F \star f)(t) = F(f(\cdot - t))$  is almost periodic in  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for each  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

#### Theorem (F, 2018)

For the almost periodicity of  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , at least one of the following conditions is sufficient

i) supp F is uniformly discrete, sup<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>  $||F_{\lambda}|| < \infty$ ,  $\Gamma = \text{supp}\hat{F}$  is discrete and closed,  $\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma| \le r\} = O(r^T)$  as  $r \to \infty$  with some  $T < \infty$ , ii)  $\hat{F}$  is an atomic measure,  $|\hat{F}|(B(r)) = O(r^T)$  as  $r \to \infty$ , iii)  $\hat{F}$  is an atomic measure, its support  $\Gamma$  is discrete and such that for some  $h < \infty$ , c > 0 we have  $|\gamma - \gamma'| > c(1 + |\gamma|)^{-h}$  for all  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ ,  $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ .

A continuous function g on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is **almost periodic**, if the set

$$\{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |g(x + \tau) - g(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is relatively dense in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

A distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is **almost periodic** if the function  $(F \star f)(t) = F(f(\cdot - t))$  is almost periodic in  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for each  $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

### Theorem (F, 2018)

For the almost periodicity of  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , at least one of the following conditions is sufficient

i) supp F is uniformly discrete, sup<sub> $\lambda$ </sub>  $||F_{\lambda}|| < \infty$ ,  $\Gamma = \text{supp}\hat{F}$  is discrete and closed,  $\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma| \le r\} = O(r^T)$  as  $r \to \infty$  with some  $T < \infty$ , ii)  $\hat{F}$  is an atomic measure,  $|\hat{F}|(B(r)) = O(r^T)$  as  $r \to \infty$ , iii)  $\hat{F}$  is an atomic measure, its support  $\Gamma$  is discrete and such that for some  $h < \infty$ , c > 0 we have  $|\gamma - \gamma'| > c(1 + |\gamma|)^{-h}$  for all  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ ,  $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ .

For any set  $\Gamma$  without the above condition there is non-almost periodic  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\hat{F}$  is a measure with support in  $\Gamma$ . Favorov (Kharkiv national university) Local Wiener's Theorem and Almost Period Budapest, Augest, 2019 11/16

Let g be an almost periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Its Fourier coefficients are defined by the formula

$$a_g(\gamma) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_d R^d} \int_{|x| < R} g(x) \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, \gamma \rangle\} dx,$$

where  $\omega_d$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The set  $\{\gamma : a_g(\gamma) \neq 0\}$  is countable.

Let g be an almost periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Its Fourier coefficients are defined by the formula

$$a_g(\gamma) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_d R^d} \int_{|x| < R} g(x) \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, \gamma \rangle\} dx,$$

where  $\omega_d$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The set  $\{\gamma : a_g(\gamma) \neq 0\}$  is countable.

Fourier coefficients of an almost periodic distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (or a measure  $\mu$ ) are defined by the equality

$$a_F(\gamma) = a_{F\star f}(\gamma)/\hat{f}(\gamma), \quad f\in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
 such that  $\hat{f}(\gamma)
eq 0.$ 

Let g be an almost periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Its Fourier coefficients are defined by the formula

$$a_g(\gamma) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_d R^d} \int_{|x| < R} g(x) \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, \gamma \rangle\} dx,$$

where  $\omega_d$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The set  $\{\gamma : a_g(\gamma) \neq 0\}$  is countable.

Fourier coefficients of an almost periodic distribution  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (or a measure  $\mu$ ) are defined by the equality

$$a_F(\gamma) = a_{F\star f}(\gamma)/\hat{f}(\gamma), \quad f\in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) ext{ such that } \hat{f}(\gamma)
eq 0.$$

The definition does not depend on f. Clearly, the set  $\{\gamma : a_F(\gamma) \neq 0\}$  is countable too.

Every almost periodic measure  $\mu$  is translation bounded. Also, we have

$$a_{\mu}(\gamma) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_d R^d} \int_{|x-x_0| < R} \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, \gamma \rangle\} \mu(dx).$$

uniformly w.r.t.  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (L.Ronkin, 1997).

Every almost periodic measure  $\mu$  is translation bounded. Also, we have

$$a_{\mu}(\gamma) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_d R^d} \int_{|x-x_0| < R} \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, \gamma \rangle\} \mu(dx).$$

uniformly w.r.t.  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (L.Ronkin, 1997).

#### Theorem (Y.Meyer, 2018)

Let  $\mu$  be an almost periodic measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with Fourier coefficients  $a_{\mu}(\gamma)$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:

Every almost periodic measure  $\mu$  is translation bounded. Also, we have

$$a_{\mu}(\gamma) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_d R^d} \int_{|x-x_0| < R} \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, \gamma \rangle\} \mu(dx).$$

uniformly w.r.t.  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (L.Ronkin, 1997).

#### Theorem (Y.Meyer, 2018)

Let  $\mu$  be an almost periodic measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with Fourier coefficients  $a_{\mu}(\gamma)$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:

i) for every R  $<\infty$  the sum  $\sum_{|\gamma| < R} |a_{\mu}(\gamma)|$  is finite,

Every almost periodic measure  $\mu$  is translation bounded. Also, we have

$$a_{\mu}(\gamma) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_d R^d} \int_{|x-x_0| < R} \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, \gamma \rangle\} \mu(dx).$$

uniformly w.r.t.  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (L.Ronkin, 1997).

#### Theorem (Y.Meyer, 2018)

Let  $\mu$  be an almost periodic measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with Fourier coefficients  $a_{\mu}(\gamma)$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:

i) for every 
$${\sf R} < \infty$$
 the sum  $\sum_{|\gamma| < {\sf R}} |{\sf a}_\mu(\gamma)|$  is finite,

ii)  $\hat{\mu}$  is a Radon measure,

Every almost periodic measure  $\mu$  is translation bounded. Also, we have

$$a_{\mu}(\gamma) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_d R^d} \int_{|x-x_0| < R} \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, \gamma \rangle\} \mu(dx).$$

uniformly w.r.t.  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (L.Ronkin, 1997).

#### Theorem (Y.Meyer, 2018)

Let  $\mu$  be an almost periodic measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with Fourier coefficients  $a_{\mu}(\gamma)$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:

i) for every 
$${\sf R} < \infty$$
 the sum  $\sum_{|\gamma| < {\sf R}} |{\sf a}_\mu(\gamma)|$  is finite,

ii)  $\hat{\mu}$  is a Radon measure,

iii)  $\hat{\mu}$  is the atomic Radon measure  $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathsf{a}_{\mu}(\gamma) \delta_{\mathsf{g}}$ .

Every almost periodic measure  $\mu$  is translation bounded. Also, we have

$$a_{\mu}(\gamma) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_d R^d} \int_{|x-x_0| < R} \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, \gamma \rangle\} \mu(dx).$$

uniformly w.r.t.  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (L.Ronkin, 1997).

#### Theorem (Y.Meyer, 2018)

Let  $\mu$  be an almost periodic measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with Fourier coefficients  $a_{\mu}(\gamma)$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:

i) for every 
$${\sf R} < \infty$$
 the sum  $\sum_{|\gamma| < {\sf R}} |{\sf a}_\mu(\gamma)|$  is finite,

ii)  $\hat{\mu}$  is a Radon measure,

iii)  $\hat{\mu}$  is the atomic Radon measure  $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d} a_{\mu}(\gamma) \delta_{g}$ .

There is an almost periodic function g such that  $\hat{g}$  is not a measure.

### Almost Periodic Measures with "Large" Coefficients

## Almost Periodic Measures with "Large" Coefficients

#### Theorem (F)

Let  $\mu$  be an almost periodic atomic Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $|\mu|\{x : |x| < r\} = O(r^d)$  as  $r \to \infty$ , the set  $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d : a_\mu(\gamma) \neq 0\}$  be uniformly discrete, and  $\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} : |a_\mu(\gamma)| > 0$ .

## Almost Periodic Measures with "Large" Coefficients

#### Theorem (F)

Let  $\mu$  be an almost periodic atomic Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $|\mu|\{x : |x| < r\} = O(r^d)$  as  $r \to \infty$ , the set  $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d : a_\mu(\gamma) \neq 0\}$  be uniformly discrete, and  $\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} : |a_\mu(\gamma)| > 0$ . Then

$$\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{J_k} \exp\{2\pi i \langle x, \mathbf{b}_{j,k} \rangle\} \mu_k,$$

where  $\mu_k$  are *d*-periodic atomic Radon measures with full-rank lattices  $L_k$  of periods, k = 1, ..., N, and  $b_{j,k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

## Fourier transform of Almost Periodic Distributions

## Fourier transform of Almost Periodic Distributions

### Theorem (F., 2018)

Let  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an almost periodic distribution and G be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:
#### Theorem (F., 2018)

Let  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an almost periodic distribution and G be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:

i) for every compact  $K \subset G$  the sum  $\sum_{\gamma \in K} |a_F(\gamma)|$  is finite,

#### Theorem (F., 2018)

Let  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an almost periodic distribution and G be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:

i) for every compact  $K \subset G$  the sum  $\sum_{\gamma \in K} |a_F(\gamma)|$  is finite,

ii) the restriction  $\hat{F}$  to G is a Radon measure on G,

#### Theorem (F., 2018)

Let  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an almost periodic distribution and G be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then the following properties are equivalent: i) for every compact  $K \subset G$  the sum  $\sum_{\gamma \in K} |a_F(\gamma)|$  is finite, ii) the restriction  $\hat{F}$  to G is a Radon measure on G, iii) the restriction  $\hat{F}$  to G is the atomic Radon measure  $\sum_{\gamma \in G} a_F(\gamma) \delta_{\gamma}$ ,

#### Theorem (F., 2018)

Let  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an almost periodic distribution and G be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then the following properties are equivalent: *i*) for every compact  $K \subset G$  the sum  $\sum_{\gamma \in K} |a_F(\gamma)|$  is finite, *ii*) the restriction  $\hat{F}$  to G is a Radon measure on G, *iii*) the restriction  $\hat{F}$  to G is the atomic Radon measure  $\sum_{\gamma \in G} a_F(\gamma) \delta_{\gamma}$ , If  $\operatorname{supp} \hat{F} \cap G$  is a discrete set without limit points in G, then conditions *i*)-*iii*) are satisfied.

### Theorem (F., 2018)

Let  $F \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be an almost periodic distribution and G be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then the following properties are equivalent: *i*) for every compact  $K \subset G$  the sum  $\sum_{\gamma \in K} |a_F(\gamma)|$  is finite, *ii*) the restriction  $\hat{F}$  to G is a Radon measure on G, *iii*) the restriction  $\hat{F}$  to G is the atomic Radon measure  $\sum_{\gamma \in G} a_F(\gamma) \delta_{\gamma}$ , If  $\operatorname{supp} \hat{F} \cap G$  is a discrete set without limit points in G, then conditions *i*)–*iii*) are satisfied.

### Corollary (F., 2018)

For any almost periodic distribution F we have  $\operatorname{supp} \hat{F} = \overline{\{\gamma : a_F(\gamma) \neq 0\}}$ .

# Thanks for your attention!